



**INDIVIDUAL  
FELLOWSHIPS**

**MARIE SKŁODOWSKA  
CURIE ACTIONS**

**MSCA - IF  
2018**



# MCSA IF Hakemlik Deneyimi

Doç. Dr. Cengiz Acartürk


ODTÜ Enformatik Enstitüsü

Bilişsel Bilimler & Siber Güvenlik Ana Bilim Dalı


16 Ocak 2019

# H2020-MCSA-IF

- Horizon 2020  
Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions  
Individual Fellowships  
programme
  - Yıllık 10,000'e yakın proje önerisi
  - Yaklaşık %14 başarı oranı



# Beş uzman rolü



# Uzmanlar

- **Expert Evaluators.**

Individual Evaluation Report (IER) hazırlayan uzmanlar

- Bir proje önerisi için her expert evaluator bir IER hazırlıyor
- IER hazırlama yönergeleri kullanılıyor
- Expert Evaluatorlar bir dönemde 3-10 arası proje önerisi değerlendiriyor
- Uzaktan değerlendirme yapıyor

# Uzmanlar

- **Rapporteur.** Farklı uzmanların hazırladığı IERleri tutarlı bir Consensus Report (CR) haline dönüştürüyor
  - Rapporteur, Expert Evaluatorlardan birisi
  - Kendisine atanan proje önerisi için bir Consensus Report oluşturuyor
  - Consensus Report (CR): Vice-chair paneline giden rapor
  - CR hazırlama sırasında çelişik değerlendirmeleri tespit ediyor, raporu tutarlı hale getiriyor
  - Gerekğinde Vice-chair'dan yardım istiyor
  - Uzaktan değerlendirme yapıyor

# Uzmanlar

- **Vice Chairs.** Değerlendirme sürecini bilen uzmanlar
  - Individual Evaluation Report (IER) ve Consensus Report (CR) hazırlık aşamasına monitörleme yapıyor
  - Çatışmaları çözüyor
  - Proje değerlendirmiyor
  - Quality check yapıyor  
Örnek. Uzman raporlarındaki yanlış ifadeler
  - Yerinde değerlendirme yapıyor (Brüksel)

# Uzmanlar

- Chair
- **Independent Observer.** REA tarafından atanan, yönergelerin takip edilip edilmediğini denetleyen kişi
  - Denetçi
  - Raporlamadan sorumlu, söz hakkı yok
- **REA (Research Executive Agency) personeli**
  - Vice-chair'lar ile birlikte çalışan idari destek personeli

# İş Akışı

**1. CALL CLOSURE**



**2. REA PERFORMS AN ELIGIBILITY AND ADMISSIBILITY CHECK ON ALL SUBMITTED PROPOSALS**



**3. REA, WITH THE HELP OF THE VICE-CHAIRS, ALLOCATES ALL ADMISSIBLE AND ELIGIBLE PROPOSALS TO THREE EXPERT EVALUATORS ACCORDING TO THEIR FIELD OF EXPERTISE**



# İş Akışı

**4. EXPERTS SIGN THEIR CONTRACTS AND ACCEPT THEIR EVALUATION TASKS IN SEP (SEE BELOW)**



**5. EACH EVALUATOR PROVIDES THE FIRST IERs IN DRAFT FORMAT + HIS/HER VICE-CHAIR CHECKS AND PROVIDES FEEDBACK ON THE QUALITY OF THE REPORT AS SOON AS POSSIBLE**

# İş Akışı

**6. EACH EVALUATOR SUBMITS HIS/HER IERs IN SEP**



**7. THE RAPPORTEUR PREPARES AND SUBMITS THE CR IN DRAFT  
FORMAT + HIS/HER VICE-CHAIR CHECKS AND PROVIDES  
FEEDBACK AS SOON AS POSSIBLE**

# İş Akışı

**8. ALL THREE EXPERTS (TWO EVALUATORS + ONE RAPPORTEUR) PARTICIPATE IN THE REMOTE CONSENSUS DISCUSSION VIA SEP AND REACH CONSENSUS**



**9. THE TWO EVALUATORS CHECK THAT THE CONSENSUS HAS BEEN INCORPORATED BY THE RAPPORTEUR IN THE DRAFT CR AND APPROVE THE CR IN SEP**




**10. THE VICE-CHAIRS PERFORM A QUALITY CHECK FOR EACH CR**

# İş Akışı



# İş Akışı



# Değerlendirme

<b>EVALUATION CRITERION</b>	<b>WEIGHT</b>
<b>EXCELLENCE</b>	50 %
<b>IMPACT</b>	30 %
<b>IMPLEMENTATION</b>	20 %

# Değerlendirme

<p><b>EXCELLENT.</b> The proposal successfully addresses all relevant aspects of the criterion. Any shortcomings are minor.</p>	<b>5</b>	
<p><b>VERY GOOD.</b> The proposal addresses the criterion very well, but a small number of shortcomings are present.</p>	<b>4</b>	4.9 ↕ 4.0
<p><b>GOOD.</b> The proposal addresses the criterion well, but a number of shortcomings are present.</p>	<b>3</b>	3.9 ↕ 3.0
<p><b>FAIR.</b> The proposal broadly addresses the criterion, but there are significant weaknesses.</p>	<b>2</b>	2.9 ↕ 2.0

# Değerlendirme kriterleri

## **CRITERION 1: EXCELLENCE**

- the quality and novelty of the research;
- the training activities in the project;
- the capacity of the researcher, the scientific supervisor and their interaction.



# Excellence altında dört başlık

1. QUALITY AND CREDIBILITY OF THE RESEARCH/INNOVATION PROJECT; LEVEL OF NOVELTY, APPROPRIATE CONSIDERATION OF INTER/MULTIDISCIPLINARY AND GENDER ASPECTS
  - State of the art, objectives and overview of the action
  - Completeness and appropriateness of the research methodology and approach
  - Originality and innovative aspects of the research project
  - Interdisciplinary aspects of the action (if relevant)
  - Gender aspects (if relevant)

# Excellence altında dört başlık

2. QUALITY AND APPROPRIATENESS OF THE TRAINING AND OF THE TWO WAY TRANSFER OF KNOWLEDGE BETWEEN THE RESEARCHER AND THE HOST
  - The quality and appropriateness of the training
  - The two-way transfer of knowledge between the researcher and the host institution(s)
  - For Global Fellowships ONLY: How will the new skills and knowledge acquired in the third country be transferred back to the host institution in Europe?

# Excellence altında dört başlık

## 3. QUALITY OF THE SUPERVISION AND OF THE INTEGRATION IN THE TEAM/INSTITUTION

- The **supervisor's level of experience** on the research topic proposed and his/her track record
- **Integration** of the researcher within the team/institution
- **Measures** taken to integrate the researcher in the different areas of expertise and disciplines
- International networking opportunities the host could offer
- For global Fellowships ONLY: Hosting arrangements for both outgoing AND return phases

# Excellence altında dört başlık

## 4. POTENTIAL OF THE RESEARCHER TO REACH OR RE-ENFORCE PROFESSIONAL MATURITY / INDEPENDENCE DURING THE FELLOWSHIP

- How will the proposed research contribute to his/her professional development as an **independent/mature researcher** during the fellowship?
- **New competences and skills** that will be acquired and how they relate to the researcher's existing professional experience
- Evaluation of the **track record of the researcher** in relation to the level of experience

# Değerlendirme kriterleri

## **CRITERION 2: IMPACT**

IMPACT refers to the impact on the fellow's career development and the dissemination and communication activities.

# Impact altında üç başlık

## 1. ENHANCING THE POTENTIAL AND FUTURE CAREER PROSPECTS OF THE RESEARCHER

- The expected impact on the **future career prospects** after the fellowship
- How do the new competences and skills acquired during the fellowship can make the researcher more successful in their **long-term career**
- The **added value** of the fellowship on the future career

# Impact altında üç başlık

## 2. QUALITY OF THE PROPOSED MEASURES TO EXPLOIT AND DISSEMINATE THE PROJECT RESULTS

- How will the new knowledge generated by the action be **disseminated and exploited**?
  - Dissemination is the public disclosure of the results of the project in any medium
  - Exploitation is the use of the results during and after the project's implementation
- The strategy for **targeting peers** (scientific, industry and other actors, professional organisations, policy makers, etc.) and the wider community
- **Concrete planning** for exploitation and dissemination activities is included in the Gantt chart

# Impact altında üç başlık

3. QUALITY OF THE PROPOSED MEASURES TO COMMUNICATE THE PROJECT ACTIVITIES TO DIFFERENT TARGET AUDIENCES
  - How do the planned public engagement activities contribute to **creating awareness** of the performed research
  - How will the research and its results be made **known to the public** in such a way they can be understood by **non-specialists**
  - A **concrete planning** for communication activities in the Gantt Chart



# Değerlendirme kriterleri

## **CRITERION 3: IMPLEMENTATION**

IMPLEMENTATION is about the quality of the work plan, including the allocation of tasks and resources, and project management.

# Implementation altında üç başlık

## 1. COHERENCE AND EFFECTIVENESS OF THE WORK PLAN, INCLUDING THE APPROPRIATENESS OF THE ALLOCATION OF TASKS AND RESOURCES

- The relationship between **work planning** and the research and training objectives
- The justification of the **number of person-months** in relation to the proposed activities.
- Expected content of the **Gantt chart**
  - Work package titles
  - List of major deliverables, if applicable
  - List of major milestones, if applicable
  - Secondments, if applicable

# Implementation altında üç başlık

## 2. APPROPRIATENESS OF THE MANAGEMENT STRUCTURE AND PROCEDURES, INCLUDING RISK MANAGEMENT

- The organisation and management structure
- The **progress-monitoring** mechanisms in place
- The research and/or **administrative risks** that might endanger reaching the project objectives
- The **contingency plans** to be put in place should such risks occur

# Implementation altında üç başlık

## 3. APPROPRIATENESS OF THE INSTITUTIONAL ENVIRONMENT (INFRASTRUCTURE)

- The beneficiary's active contribution to the research and training activities
- The infrastructure, logistics and facilities offered in as far they are necessary for the good implementation of the project
- For Global Fellowships ONLY: The partner organisation in third countries for the outgoing phase



**INDIVIDUAL  
FELLOWSHIPS**

**MARIE SKŁODOWSKA  
CURIE ACTIONS**

**MSCA - IF  
2018**



# TEŞEKKÜRLER

Doç. Dr. Cengiz Acartürk

ODTÜ Enformatik Enstitüsü

Bilişsel Bilimler & Siber Güvenlik Ana Bilim Dalı

16 Ocak 2019