



MSCA-IF EVALUATION STEP BY STEP

Marie Skłodowska-Curie Alanı Hakem Deneyimi

Doç. Dr. Mert Döşkaya
Ege Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi

INDIVIDUAL FELLOWSHIPS

EUROPEAN FELLOWSHIPS (EF)

GLOBAL FELLOWSHIPS (GF)

CAREER RESTART PANEL (EF-CAR)

SOCIETY & ENTERPRISE PANEL (EF-SE)


WIDENING FELLOWSHIP (EF-WF)

REINTEGRATION PANEL (EF-RI)

STANDARD EUROPEAN FELLOWSHIPS (EF-ST)

- Herbir program kendi içinde puanlandırılır ve sıralanır
- Standart EF en çok başvuru alan kısım bu sebeple yarışma çok
- Global fellowship (örnek: 2 yıl ABD ve 1 yıl Avrupa-örneğin Türkiye)

Çok etkili bir değerlendirme süreci



ONLINE
SEP üzerinden

Assessment grid

- Excellence, Impact, Implementation

You must assess each sub-criterion.

Individual Fellowships 2018 - Assessment Grid	Proposal Number/Acronym: _____	Assessment					
		Fail	Poor	Fair	Good	Very good	Excellent
EXCELLENCE		1					
Quality and credibility of the research/innovation project, level of novelty, appropriate consideration of inter/multidisciplinary and gender aspects		1.1					
Are the state-of-the-art, specific objectives and an overview of the action provided and relevant?		1.1					
Is the proposed research methodology and approach credible (in view of the type of research / innovation activities proposed)?		1.1					
Is the planned research original and innovative? Will the action contribute to advance the state-of-the-art within the research field (i.e. new concepts, approaches or methods)?		1.1					
Where applicable, are there interdisciplinary aspects to consider?		1.1					
Where applicable, is the gender dimension in research content well addressed (i.e. in research activities where human beings are involved as subjects or end-users)?		1.1					
Quality and appropriateness of the training and of the two way transfer of knowledge between the researcher and the host		1.2					
Is the two-way transfer of knowledge between the researcher and the host institution outlined and credible?		1.2					
<i>For Global Fellowships only</i> , does the proposal explain how the newly acquired skills and knowledge will be transferred back to Europe?		1.2					
Are training activities described and relevant? [NOTE: do NOT penalize the proposal in case there is no Career Development Plan]		1.2					
Quality of the supervision and of the integration in the team/institution		1.3					
Are the qualifications and experience of the supervisor well described and adequate, taking into account their level of experience on the research topic and their track record of work (e.g. main international collaborations, experience in supervising/training especially PhD, postdoctoral researchers)?		1.3					
Do the hosting arrangements allow for a good integration of the researcher in the team/institution to maximize knowledge and skills generated from the fellowship? Are the nature and the quality of the research group/environment as a whole outlined? Are international networking opportunities offered?		1.3					
<i>For Global Fellowships only</i> , are the hosting arrangements at the partner organisation adequate to accommodate the researcher?		1.3					

Excellence (Mükemmellik)

- %50 puan gelir (10 sayfanın en az 6'sını kaplamalı)
- 1.1 **Quality and credibility** of the research/**innovation** project, level of novelty, appropriate consideration of **inter/multidisciplinary** and **gender** aspects
 - Current State-of-the-art (+) research objectives (+) overview of the action
 - Completeness and appropriateness of the research methodology and approach
 - Originality and innovative aspects of the project
 - The interdisciplinary aspects of the action
 - Gender dimension in the research content

Sık yapılan hata
Hepsi eşit puanda

Excellence (Mükemmellik)

- 1.2 **Quality and appropriateness of the training** and of the **two way transfer** of knowledge between the researcher and the host (**Sadece bilimsel eğitim aranmaz!**)
- 1.3 **Quality** of the **supervision** and of the **integration** in the team/institution
- 1.4 **Capacity (var olan*) of the researcher** to reach or re-enforce a position of maturity/independence (**CV ile**

**İyi olduğunuzu
gösterin**
(Burada mütevazilik olmaz)

Impact (Yaygın etki)

- Puanlamada %30 etkili, en az 2 sayfa yazılmasında fayda var
- 2.1 Enhancing the **future** (bu eğitim size ne etki (**kabiliyet, beceri**) yapacak + «**career development plan**» istenir) career prospects of the researcher after the fellowship
- 2.2 Quality of the proposed measures to exploit and disseminate the project results
 - Bilimsel
 - IPR
 - Outreach strategy

Başlıca puan kaybetme yeri

Implementation (Uygulama)

- Puanlamada %20 etkili, en az 2 sayfa yazılmasında fayda var
- 3.1 Coherence and effectiveness of the **work plan**, including appropriateness of the **allocation of tasks and resources**
 - Gantt chart-task-deliverable-milestones
 - Person-month
- 3.2 Appropriateness of the **management structure** and procedures, including **risk management**
- 3.3 Appropriateness of the **institutional environment**
 - «Commitment» unutmamalım

**WP puan
kaybetme yeri**

Part B- Ekler (CV)

- **Desteyin en iyi arařtırmacıya verilecek olması çok önemli**
- CV için yayınlar (impact factor ve WOS kaç kere cite edildiđinin belirtilmesi)
- **Projede rol alma, burs, ödöl, corresponding author, ders verme, supervising, mobility**
- 1. isim yayın sayısı (yaş ile ilişkili)
- Bilimde bağımsızlık ve inisiyatif alma

Part B- Ekler (Capacity of the Participating Organization)

- Çok iyi yazılmalı (imlementation 3.3 ile birlikte değerlendirilir)
- Kurum ile ilgili bilgiler
- Kaç avrupa projesi (Bütçeler, adlar), MSCA sayısı...
- Gideceğiniz lab'ın proje sayısı, patent, önemli yayınları (Science, Nature, PNAS etc)

Take home messages

- Yazıma 6 ay önceden başlayalım
- Inovasyonu olan ve ürüne yönelik çalışma tasarlayalım
- Başvuru formunda her soruyu etkin biçimde yanıtlayalım
- Tekrarlardan kaçınalım
- CV'miz yeterlimi? Kendi kendimize sorgulayalım
- Gideceğimiz yer bilimde etkin mi?
- Mutlaka TÜBİTAK'tan destek alalım (ön değerlendirme)